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Abstract

Vertical and horizontal expansion of irrigated agriculture to feed the increasing population has contributed to excessive groundwater withdrawal and affected the availability of water in terms of both quality and quantity. To sustain agricultural growth, strategic measures should be adopted to reduce water consumption while minimizing adverse effect on yield. The effect of deficit irrigation on wheat yield was studied in three consecutive years (2002-03 to 2004-05) in field and pot at the experimental farm of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Ishurdi, Bangladesh. Ten irrigation treatments were imposed in a randomized complete block (RCB) design covering full deficit, no deficit at all, single deficit at different stages, and alternate deficits. Water deficit was created by withholding irrigation at different growth stages. The results indicate that deficit irrigation strategies affected all aspects of plant growth (leaf area index, chlorophyll content, root growth, nutrient uptake, plant height) adversely. Yield attributes were affected by deficit irrigation treatments although they are not statistically significant in all cases. Differences in yield attributes among the partial deficits (i.e. single stage or alternate stage deficits) and no deficit treatments were small, but there was a sharp difference between partial or no deficit, and full deficit treatment. The grain and straw yields were significantly affected by treatments. Differences in grain and straw yield among the partial- and no-deficit treatments were small, and statistically insignificant in most cases. The greatest effect on yield was observed with the addition of first increment of water at CRI stage. Within all treatments, the well-irrigated treatment produced the highest grain yield. When compared within single-deficit treatments, the grain yield reduction was in the order to water deficit at phases: CRI> maximum tillering > booting – heading >flowering- soft dough. Within two deficit strategies, the treatment having alternate irrigation at CRI and booting –heading phase produced higher grain yield over the other one; and this treatment produced statistically similar yield to those of single-deficit and no-deficit treatments. This strategy showed the highest harvest ratio, indicating higher proportional accumulation of assimilates to grain. The crop coefficient ($k_c$) under different ET$_0$ methods for early, crop development, middle, and late period ranged from 0.54 to 0.96, 0.95 to 1.36, 1.2 to 1.62, and 0.68 to 1.05, respectively. The Penman-Monteith method resulted in relatively higher $k_c$ value than those of other methods. Non-significant differences were observed by pair ‘t’ test between $k_c$ values determined by various ET$_0$ methods. When the values were averaged over years and methods, a
kc value of 0.77, 1.17, 1.41, and 0.89 were found for early, crop development, middle and late period, respectively. The yield response factor (k_y) varied with the growth phase and also among seasons. On average, the k_y for early, maximum tillering, booting-heading, and flowering-soft dough stages was 0.27, 0.21, 0.25, and 0.17, respectively. The sensitivity index (λ_i, of Jensen model) for early, vegetative, booting-heading, and flowering-soft dough phases was 0.35, 0.22, 0.31, and 0.14, respectively. A more sensitive growth stage had a higher value of λ_i, and therefore water supply is more important at early and booting-heading phases. Deficit irrigation effectively boosted water productivity. On average, the single irrigation at early stage (T10) in addition to post-sowing irrigation saved about 68% water in comparison to well-irrigated plot (T9) with a yield reduction of 19%. Between two alternate deficit strategies, treatment having irrigation at early and booting-heading stages (T7) saved 39% water coupled with 16% yield reduction compared to well-irrigated plot. Marginal productivity of irrigation water associated with treatment T10 and T7 was about two folds of the others. Within the single deficit treatments (T3 to T6), relative water savings were almost similar (27 – 31%), but had differences in relative yield reduction (6 - 2%). The highest relative yield reduction was associated with deficit at CRI, followed by tillering, and then booting-heading stage. The highest net financial return from both land- and water-limiting condition was obtained from alternate deficit treatment (treatment T7). From the evaluation of yield, irrigation amount, irrigation water productivity, relative water savings, relative yield reduction, and maximum profit under limited water resource condition, it can be concluded that when limited quantities of water is available, preference should be given to irrigate first at CRI (if one irrigation is available), then at CRI and booting-heading (if two irrigations are available), and next at CRI, maximum tillering and booting-heading (if three irrigations are available) stages of growth.
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Chapter I

Introduction

1.1 General concept of irrigation

Plants need water for its proper growth and development. The demand for water by the crop must be met by the water in the soil, via the root system. Application of water to meet the crop water demand at proper time in proper way is termed as irrigation. If the crop water demand is meet by other ways (such as rainfall, capillary rise from groundwater table, etc.), there is no need of irrigation. Irrigation water requirement for cereals and non-cereals are not same. Among cereals, irrigation water requirement of rice is the highest. On the contrary, irrigation requirement of wheat is less compared to rice. Proper irrigation scheduling also affects the irrigation requirement of different crops.

1.1.1 Irrigation scheduling

The problem of irrigation scheduling consists of: (i) when to irrigate, (ii) how much to irrigate, and (iii) how to apply irrigation water. The amount of irrigation is obtained through field measurement or predicted through indirect method. The amount of irrigation is defined as the depth of water needed to meet the crop water loss through evapotranspiration under the growing environment. Although both timing and amount of water applied affect irrigation water productivity, timing has the greatest effect on crop yield and quality because at some growth stages, excessive soil moisture stress caused by delayed irrigation can irreversibly reduce the potential yield or quality or both. Different