

**Countertransference and Related
Experiences of Psychologists
Serving Suicidal Patients:
Implications for Training and Supervision**

Perry A. Staltaro

DISSERTATION.COM



Boca Raton

*Countertransference and Related Experiences of Psychologists Serving Suicidal Patients:
Implications for Training and Supervision*

Copyright © 2001 Perry A. Staltaro

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher.

Dissertation.com
Boca Raton, Florida
USA • 2010

ISBN-10: 1-59942-300-6
ISBN-13: 978-1-59942-300-5

DEDICATION

For my loving wife, Shirley, my parents, Pat and Maria,
my sister Rose, my brother John, and my dear companions Bon,
Bianca, Anna and Nene.

"This is a narrative of very heavy duty proportions..."

Dr. Teeth, "The Muppet Movie"

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This dissertation was an enormous undertaking and it would not have been possible to do this without the substantial support of my wife, Shirley Staltaro Psy.D, and my parents, Pat and Maria Staltaro. I cannot accurately emphasize how they directly, unsparingly and uncompromisingly contributed. They provided me with every conceivable support. Mom, Dad, and Shirley--please accept my most heart-felt gratitude and appreciation.

In addition, I would like to acknowledge the substantial contributions of my dissertation chairman and professor, Thomas W. Shaffer, Ph.D. His work in suicidology is renowned in our community as is his integrity and dedication to our field. Dr. Shaffer inspired this project and followed its growth through countless and massive drafts, and his efforts deserve recognition.

Also, I express my appreciation to over three hundred and sixty psychologists across the nation who took the time to openly disclose their reactions, experiences, thoughts and wisdom regarding their work with suicidal clients.

I also extend my warmest gratitude to the exceptional individuals who contributed to my growth as a person and a professional: Errol Leifer PhD, Susan Orovitz PhD,

Kathy Sullivan PhD, Marie Tomeo PhD, Paul Gardecki, Keith Lyons, and the many others who offered the wisdom and courage to glance further than I ever thought possible. To approach the appropriate expression of thanks due to them would necessitate increasing the volume of this dissertation beyond the capacity of our humble library. Allow me to spare the backs of our librarians and suffice to say, Thank You.

Finally, let it be said that those who take on the challenge of working with those whose lives hang in the balance of intrapsychic ambivalence deserve the utmost recognition for the burden they undertake. Societal and professional expectations have been laid down that place the responsibility of life and death into their hands, regardless of their capacity to determine either outcome. These men and women risk personal and professional outcast through an arbitrary and misinformed judicial process that hastily casts blame with neither rhyme nor reason. Out of contradiction, misshaped roles, and whimsically constructed ideals has emerged a series of phenomena that rest not so subtly on their shoulders. To you, my colleagues, I say continue to fight your good fight and "rage, rage, against the dying of the light."

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Countertransference and Related Experiences of Psychologists
Serving Suicidal Patients: Implications
for Training and Supervision

by

Perry Anthony Staltaro

California School of Professional Psychology, Fresno Campus

Thomas W. Shaffer, PhD

Dissertation Committee Chairperson

1999

This study examined countertransference and other experiences of therapists serving suicidal patients. A survey was constructed to assess for aversion, narcissistic injury and similar iatrogenic constructs. Participants offered both Likert scale responses and spontaneous unstructured comments. Likert data were analyzed quantitatively. Content and phenomenological analyses were applied to the comments. The findings suggest that a substantial number of therapists treating suicidal patients experience negative countertransferences. The implications for training, treatment and supervision are discussed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	iv
ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
 Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION.	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
Introduction.	5
The Risks of Treating a Suicidal Patient	8
The Effect of a Patient's Suicide on the Therapist	15
Patient Communication of Suicidal Intent.	19
Projective Identification	24
Introjective Identification	30
Projective Identification and Diagnosis	32
Projective Identification and Implications for Treatment, Training and Supervision	36
Countertransference Experiences	40
The Rescuer Role of the Therapist	45

Chapter	Page
Manipulation and Blackmail	56
Countertransference Reactions	58
Malignant Alienation	68
Therapist Anxiety and the Patient	69
Countertransference Hate	73
Avoidance, Aversion and Reaction Formation	84
Projection of Countertransference Hatred.	96
Patient Ambivalence Toward Therapy.	97
Countertransference Management.	99
The Suicidal Therapist.	103
Treatment Techniques Using Countertransference	108
Therapist Training.	113
Therapist Self-Care	114
In-Patient Staff Countertransference.	117
Stress/Burnout.	121
Case Management	122
Cultural Differences in Suicide Attitudes	126
Survey Design	127
The Research Purpose.	129
Summary	131

Chapter	Page
3. METHOD	132
Participants and Procedures	132
Protection of Human Participants.	133
Instrument.	134
Hypotheses.	135
Data Analysis	137
4. RESULTS	141
Preliminary Listing of Statistics	142
Demographics.	143
Writer's Caveat of Interpretation	146
Format of Presentation of Results	147
Descriptive Analysis of Each Construct and Respective Items.	152
Aversion.	152
Item 4: "I experienced disappointment when the client arrived for their appointment."	152
Item 5: "Sometimes I wished the client would go to another therapist, move, or just go away."	156
Item 6: "I often found myself anticipating the end of the session."	158
Item 8: "I felt a sense of compassion toward the client."	159

Chapter	Page
Item 12: "I would work with this/these client/s similar clients in the future."	161
Item 13: "During sessions, I found myself glancing toward the clock, daydreaming, or feeling bored." . . .	163
Item 19: "During sessions I had difficulty keeping my attention on the client."	165
Item 22: "There were times when I regretted having accepted the client into therapy with me."	166
Item 23: "During sessions, I felt a sense of closeness toward the client."	167
Item 26: "I would like to work with this or similar clients again in the future."	169
Item 28: "I often yawned, felt sleepy or tired during sessions with the client."	170
Item 29: "I experienced apathy toward the client."	172
Item 30: "I considered terminating and referring the client to another therapist."	173
Introjective Identification Scale . .	175
Item 9: "During treatment of the client, I experienced feelings of sadness."	175
Item 10: "While treating the client I often felt a sense of hopelessness."	177

Chapter	Page
Item 11: "During sessions, I experienced unusual somatic symptoms."	180
Item 17: "I experienced suicidal thoughts."	181
Item 20: "During sessions, there were often times when I felt annoyed."	182
Malice Scale.	184
Item 7: "I was bitter or resentful toward the client."	184
Item 25: "I often felt angry with the client."	187
Item 31: "I have never had an angry fantasy about the client"	189
Narcissistic Injury Scale	190
Item 2: "I often felt overwhelmed while treating the client"	190
Item 3: "I felt particularly anxious before, during or after sessions with the client."	193
Item 14: "Treating the client, I experienced feelings of professional failure."	195
Item 15: "I am bothered when a client does not like me."	197
Item 16: "I experienced thoughts or feelings of inadequacy while treating the client."	199

Chapter	Page
Item 18: "I felt like the client was trying to punish me."	201
Item 21: "I believe the client used the threat of suicide as a means to manipulate me."	202
Item 27: "The client was manipulative toward me."	205
Item 32: "I worried my license might be revoked if the patient committed suicide."	206
Item 34: "During sessions, I often felt apologetic."	208
Item 35: "While treating this client, I experienced myself as a highly capable and competent therapist."	209
Suppression Scale	210
Item 33: "It is likely that I may have a future client commit suicide."	211
Reaction Formation Scale.	212
Item 24: "I would often extend the session time with the client."	212
General Comments.	214
5. DISCUSSION.	229
Demographics Discussion	231
Item 4: "I experienced disappointment when the client arrived for their appointment."	236

Chapter

Page

Item 5: "Sometimes I wished the client would go to another therapist, move, or just go away."	237
Item 30: "I considered terminating and referring the client to another therapist."	238
Item 6: "I often found myself Anticipating the end of the session."	239
Item 8: "I felt a sense of compassion toward the client."	240
Item 12: "I would work with this/these client/s or similar clients in the future."	242
Item 26: "I would like to work with this or similar clients again in the future."	243
Item 13: "During sessions, I found myself glancing toward the clock, daydreaming, or feeling bored."	244
Item 28: "I often yawned, felt sleepy or tired during sessions with the client."	245
Item 19: "During sessions I had difficulty keeping my attention on the client."	246
Item 22: "There were times when I regretted having accepted the client into therapy with me."	247
Item 23: "During sessions, I felt a sense of closeness toward the client."	248

Chapter	Page
Item 29: "I experienced apathy toward the client."	248
Introjective Identification Scale	250
Item 9: "During treatment of the client, I experienced feelings of sadness."	251
Item 10: "While treating the client I often felt a sense of hopelessness."	254
Item 11: "During sessions, I experienced unusual somatic symptoms."	255
Item 17: "I experienced suicidal thoughts."	257
Item 20: "During sessions, there were often times when I felt annoyed."	260
Malice Scale.	261
Item 7: "I was bitter or resentful toward the client."	263
Item 25: "I often felt angry with the client."	265
Item 31: "I have never had an angry fantasy about the client."	266
Narcissistic Injury Scale	267
Item 2: "I often felt overwhelmed while treating the client."	268
Item 3: "I felt particularly anxious before, during or after sessions with the client."	270

Chapter	Page
Item 14: "Treating the client, I experienced feelings of professional failure."	271
Item 15: "I am bothered when a client does not like me."	272
Item 16: "I experienced thoughts or feelings of inadequacy while treating the client."	275
Item 35: "While treating this client, I experienced myself as a highly capable and competent therapist."	277
Item 34: "During sessions, I often felt apologetic."	278
Item 18: "I felt like the client was trying to punish me."	279
Item 21: "I believe the client used the threat of suicide as a means to manipulate me."	281
Item 27: "The client was manipulative toward me."	283
Item 32: "I worried my license might be revoked if the patient committed suicide."	285
Suppression	286
Item 33: "It is likely that I may have a future client commit suicide."	286
Reaction Formation	288
Item 24: "I would often extend the session time with the client."	288
Discussion of the Survey Overall	290

	Page
REFERENCES	314
APPENDICES	327
A. Table of Constructs	328
B. Research Instruments	337
C. Quantitative Analysis.	345
D. Content Analysis	405
E. Phenomenological Analysis.	459
Epoche.	460
General Comments.	462
F. Writer's Final Comments.	640

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Aversion Construct Survey Items	153
2. Introjective Identification Scale	176
3. Malice Scale.	185
4. Narcissistic Injury Scale	191
5. Aversion Scale.	234
6. Introjective Identification Scale	252
7. Malice Scale.	262
8. Narcissistic Injury Scale	269
9. Table of Constructs	329
10. Demographics	346
11. Year First Doctoral License Was Received. .	347
12. Total Years of Having Practiced Psychotherapy	348
13. Primary Employment Setting	349
14. Orientation and Specialization	350
15. APA Membership	351
16. Current Caseload	352
17. <u>f</u> Distribution of Likert Responses to Scale Items	353
18. <u>P</u> Distribution of Likert Responses to Scale Items	357
19. Survey Item Analysis.	361
20. Skewness and Kurtosis	363

Table	Page
21. Correlations of Scales to Demographics and Scale Items	365
22. Inter-Item Correlations Sorted by Strength of Correlation	368
23. Demographics Correlations	378
24. Inter-Item Correlations, Complete Listing .	379
25. Complete Listing of Inter-Item r_s	393
26. Content Analysis: Elaborations to Survey Items	406
27. Content Analysis: Elaborations Assigned to Primary and Secondary Content	437
28. Transformation of General Comments to Meaning Units	463
29. Transformation of Meaning Units to Themes .	485
30. Transformation of Survey Item Comments to Meaning Units	502
31. Transformation of Meaning Units into Themes	581
32. Results of Likert Responses to Survey Items	634

"During those months I didn't really hate you. I just hated everything you stood for--insight, care and hope." --"Sarah" (Gorney, 1979, p. 322).

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

This study seeks to explore the personal reactions and experiences of therapists who work with moderately to highly suicidal patients. The cognitive, affective, and behavioral reactions of therapists will be examined as aspects of the countertransference with special attention to projective identification.

A working definition of countertransference has been offered by Slackter (1987). After an extensive review of the widely ranging uses of the term, he writes that

Countertransference concerns those personalized emotional responses each analyst makes to a broad range of individual patients in a wide variety of situations during various phases of treatment. While in themselves neither inherently positive nor negative, these responses can promote or hinder the therapeutic process, and it is from this effect that they derive their value, and ultimately their meaningfulness.

Such meaningfulness can hardly be overstated in terms of treatment efficacy, especially in regards to the treatment of the highly suicidal patient. These internal, personal, and affect-laden experiences have the capacity to propel or destroy the therapeutic alliance (Slackter, 1987).

Therapists, given their training, and the very nature of true human empathy cannot avoid entanglement with the powerful and moving world of patients whose lives are in the balance (Maltsberger, 1994). At times the therapist's cognitive, affective, and behavioral experience will elicit an iatrogenic reaction toward clients that may exacerbate suicidality (Maltsberger, 1994).

Explanations for suicide include that it can serve as an act of violent retaliation, a desperate plea for help, or a final resolution to hopelessness (Beck, Kovacs, & Weissman, 1975; Maltsberger, 1994). Each involves a fantasy of interpersonal interaction highly charged with elements of intimacy, rage, and abandonment. The patient who threatens suicide sets the context for an involved, and intense, patient-therapist interpersonal reaction. Naturally, therapists are inclined to assume a defensive stance once they have considered the possible consequences should the patient commit suicide while under their care.

As Olin (1976) remarked, the therapist is in a delicate balance of confirming the suicidal patient's responsibility without being rejecting or rescuing toward him or her. The therapist's capacity to maintain this balance is influenced in part by the manner in which the therapist manages countertransference (Maltsberger, 1994; Olin, 1976).

Of particular interest in this study is a specific type of countertransference, which is the therapist's use of introjective identification in response to a patient's use of projective identification. Projective identification is a process by which the patient projects an aspect of the self or its objects (Scharff, 1992). More simply, patients project an aspect of themselves upon the therapist and via unconscious processes manipulate the therapist to act in concordance with the projection. Through projective identification, the suicidal patient induces the clinician to play the roles of rescuer, abandoner, and even sadist (Flarsheim, 1975; Gorkin, 1987; Jensen & Petty, 1958; Maltzberger, 1994). Introjective identification is the process by which the therapist identifies with the projected part of the patient and subsequently introjects it (Scharff, 1992).

How the therapist manages the patient's projected material often has direct and significant implications for efficacy of the treatment (Ogden, 1979; Scharff, 1992). For example, in one study, which is later reviewed, 6% of cases in which a patient committed suicide involved clear and substantial therapeutic errors that stemmed from therapist behaviors related to negative countertransferences (Modestin, 1987). The implications are that if the treating

therapists had been more closely attuned to their own countertransference they would not have made such serious treatment errors (Modestin, 1987).

It is the aspiration of this dissertation to illustrate the common countertransference experiences therapists report when working with suicidal patients. At present, the literature lacks collected self-disclosures and what exists is theory based on case studies, individual self-reports, and anecdotal evidence.

This study collects anonymous therapist self-disclosures regarding the cognitive, affective, and behavioral experiences they had while working with suicidal patients. Additionally, this study explores how the personal reactions and experiences therapists report compare with the existing literature. Such research may aid in continued theory development and offer practical information to therapists and supervisors on understanding the commonalties of the countertransferences toward suicidal patients.

Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

This literature review will offer an in-depth exploration of the countertransference experiences of therapists treating suicidal patients. Foremost to be considered is the conscious risk the therapist assumes in entering the treatment arrangement. Inherent to accepting the suicidal patient into therapy are several personal, professional, and legal risks. Following this will be a discussion of the concepts of projective and introjective identification in relation to the treatment of the suicidal patient. Implications for therapist training, case management, and supervision are discussed.

The specific countertransference experiences that therapists have reported when treating suicidal patients are examined. These include rescuing-behaviors, hate, aversion, avoidance, and multiple other reactions. The therapist's specific countertransference and the associated patient intra-psychic and behavioral patterns are then explored. The effect of introjective identification upon the therapist is studied, particularly in regard to the concept that performing psychoanalysis is suicidogenic. The therapist's

use of countertransference is explored in terms of potential for diagnosis and various therapeutic techniques. Case management issues including in-patient staff management, consultation, therapist self-care, burnout stress, and the legal implications of negative therapist behaviors based upon unexamined or unresolved countertransference matters are also discussed.

It has been written that "death is the ongoing enemy of the physician," and though the physician may postpone death, he may never stop it altogether (Schwartz, Flinn, & Slawson, 1979). From the outset lies a particular challenge when the agents of death are suicidal impulses within the patient. Making the matter worse, the unconscious, which stirs such impulses, may be oblivious to the threat of suicidality. This perspective was taken by Freud when he wrote that "Our unconscious . . . does not believe in its own death; it behaves as if it were immortal . . . there is nothing instinctual in us which responds to a belief in death" (Freud, 1961c, p. 288).

Before exploring the literature regarding the treatment of the suicidal patient, a brief exploration of the definition and degrees of suicidality will be offered. In 1897, Emile Durkheim defined suicide as "all cases of death resulting directly or indirectly from a positive or negative