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Abstract: The paper empirically examines the fiscal equalization aspect of Finance Commission (FC) transfers in India using the fixed effect panel regression models. Per capita Net State Domestic Product (NSDP) is used as a proxy for fiscal capacity of the states. Total FC transfers, tax transfers and FC grant transfers in per capita terms are separately regressed on per capita NSDP for 15 major states for the period 1993-94 to 2007-08. Per capita NSDP is found to be significant and positively associated with Total FC transfers and its components in per capita terms. This possibly indicates that FC transfers are regressive in nature and fails to equalize fiscal capacity of the states. The paper calls for appropriate design of transfers by adequately increasing the share of grants in the total FC transfers and rearranging weights in favour of redistributive criteria.

INTRODUCTION

Formulating and implementing an intergovernmental transfers system in a particular structure of federal fiscal relations is one of the most complex areas of economic policy. These transfers need to be designed properly so as to satisfy various criteria such as equity, efficiency and fiscal discipline across the subnational governments. Federal transfers are ultimately aimed at equalization payments to ensure that states have sufficient revenues to provide reasonably comparable levels of public services at an appropriate tax effort of their own. Further specific purpose grants are provided to internalize spillovers when state expenditures generate externalities in the provision of public goods. In the Indian federal system, fiscal transfers to states are recommended by three channels, namely Finance Commission (FC), Planning Commission (PC) and Central Ministries. However, the transfers made by FC assume much significance as they are provided in the constitution for addressing vertical and horizontal fiscal imbalances. Secondly, the largest share of resources to states is mediated through the FC. The share of FC transfers in aggregate transfers is 69.02 percent in 2007-08 (13th FC, 2009). Hence, the present study mainly focuses on examining the FC transfers.

The federal transfers of the centre need to be appropriately progressive by fiscal equalization. The need for fiscal equalization on horizontal equity grounds was first advocated by Buchanan (1950). According to him, the objective of fiscal equalization transfers is to place all states in a position which would allow them to provide a national average level of public services at average tax rates i.e. states should receive fiscal transfers from the centre such that, if each made the same effort to raise revenue from its own sources and operated at the same level of efficiency, each would have the capacity to provide services at the same standard. The differences in the revenue bases and in the unit cost of providing public services among the states lead to unequal
fiscal capacity among them necessitating fiscal equalization transfers and these transfers attempt to offset the shortfall in fiscal capacity and cost disability fully. Fiscal equalization transfers are justified from the efficiency dimension also. In the presence of inter-state disparities in fiscal capacities, rich states could induce migration by offering higher net fiscal benefits and this should be neutralized through fiscal equalization transfers. Besides, if the issue of fiscal equalization among the states is not properly addressed, it may lead to accentuation of regional disparities. In the post-economic reforms era, higher federal transfers to backward states are needed so as to improve their infrastructure and thereby facilitating investment in backward areas rather than undertaking direct investment by state governments. Considering all the above aspects, it can be deduced that federal transfers need to be primarily determined by fiscal capacity differences. Hence the main objective of the present study is to observe the fiscal equalization aspect of FC transfers in Indian states.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II reviews the literature relating to fiscal equalization of federal transfers. Empirical framework of the study and results are provided in Section III and conclusions are listed in Section IV.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Hinchliffe (1987) studied on equalizing transfers on education in six countries namely, U.S., Canada, Australia, Brazil, India and Nigeria and found that spatial inequalities are addressed more through measures to equalize overall resources than through measures to equalize services in any particular sector. Stewart (1997) examined the fiscal equalization in the Russian federal transfer system and found that the direction of transfers was equalizing, but that transfers have been too small in size and too thinly spread to have a substantial impact. Wurzel (1999) found that revenue equalization transfers in Germany failed to achieve economic convergence among the states and offered no incentives to expand the tax base and promote tax compliance. The study by Pose and Gill (2003) examined the possible correlation between rising income inequalities at the regional level and widespread devolutionary initiatives worldwide. They found that global tendency towards devolution transfers resulted in greater devolution to rich and powerful regions which would be detriment to the development of poorer areas. Grewal (2006) examined the feasibility of fiscal capacity equalization as the basis for distribution of intergovernmental fiscal transfers in China and found that fiscal capacity equalization is not the most appropriate policy option for China not only because of its budgetary costs and opportunity costs for national economic growth, but also because of the urgency of addressing national economic priorities. Ahmad et al. (2007) analyzed the importance of spending needs factors in the design of equalization transfers as well as special purpose transfers taking the Mexican case. Mochida (2009) examined the ‘Local Allocation Tax’ (LAT) transfers which plays a key role as the fiscal equalization transfer system in Japan and suggested for the continuation of LAT system for addressing fiscal equalization.

In the Indian context, Rao (1977) examined the performance of first six FCs and found that the federal transfers recommended by the FCs have been unsuccessful in achieving fiscal equalization. Gaur (1988) observed that the ‘gap-filling’ approach which is followed by the successive FCs failed to meet the true fiscal needs of the state governments. He criticized the provision of Non Plan Revenue Deficit (NPRD) grants and suggested the grant transfers based on a comprehensive index reflecting the fiscal needs of the states. Aggarwal and Srivastava (1995) analyzed the three allocative criteria for central transfers to states, namely, the distance criterion, the inverse-income criterion and the integrated criterion and found that the integrated criterion is least prone and the inverse-income criterion most prone to regressive responses, at least among the
high income states. The study by Cashin and Sahay (1996) found that grants from the centre to the states in India ensured that the dispersion of states’ real per capita disposable income was narrower than the dispersion of states’ real per capita income, as relatively more grants were transferred to poorer states than to rich states.

Rao and Singh (1999) analyzed implicit transfers that operate through inter-state tax exportation and subsidized lending to the states in addition to examining explicit transfers through the FC, PC and central ministries and quantified equity impacts of these transfers. The result showed that formula-based transfers have been equalizing, but that implicit transfers tend to go disproportionately to richer states, canceling out much of the equity impact of explicit transfers. The study by Babu (1999) found that the transfers through FCs are regressive in nature and have failed to bring about any significant redistribution in favour of the poorer states. Rao et al. (1999) examine the trends in inter-state inequalities in the levels of income in India for the period from 1965 to 1994. The analysis shows widening inter-state disparities and the inequitable nature of public expenditure spread across states is attributed to the inability of the intergovernmental transfer mechanism to adequately offset the fiscal disabilities of the poorer states as well as regressive nature of the invisible inter-state transfers. Khare (2001) used Coefficient of variation and Rank correlation between per capita transfers and per capita State Domestic Product and the result implied that transfers were favourable to the poorer states only moderately. Chakraborty (2003) observed that states with better provision of public services with higher non-plan revenue expenditure mostly emerged as high non-plan revenue deficit states. Hence, higher fiscal equalization grants were provided to them. Using a panel data set for 15 non-special category states for the period 1990-91 to 1999-00, he regressed per capita transfers on per capita income and fiscal autonomy ratio. He used fixed effect model for obtaining coefficients. The study revealed that per capita transfers were positively related to the per capita income of the states indicating the regressive nature of transfers.

Rangarajan and Srivastava (2004a) examined the equalization grants in Canada. They also analyzed the relevance and applicability of the Canadian system of federal transfers in the Indian case and revealed that the Representative Tax System (RTS) approach which is used in Canada is less practical in the Indian case. In a similar study (Rangarajan and Srivastava 2004b), they analyzed the relevance of Australian federal system for India and emphasized the need to focus expenditure equalization in respect of select services as practiced in Australia. Rao et al. (2005) examined the inter-state differences in financing health sector and emphasized on central transfers to address these imbalances. Das and Mishra (2008) examined the case and relevance of the various socio-economic criteria to achieve horizontal equalization. They found that per capita income distance criterion can decisively reverse the possibilities for receiving the due shares by the poorest states and suggest per capita consumption expenditure as a more realistic and relevant indicator. Rao et al. (2008) study did log-linear regressions of per capita transfers on per capita Gross State Domestic Product for the cross section of general category states excluding Goa for 2005-06. The result showed that only FCs have a significant equalizing impact with the elasticity of (-) 0.53 largely due to the progressive distribution of tax devolution recommended by FCs and grants for state plan as well as Centrally Sponsored Schemes have no equalization impact. Saraf and Srivastava (2009) attempted to provide an alternative methodology to calculate fiscal equalization transfers using a panel model methodology by considering both revenue and expenditure sides. The result indicated that the transfers computed by the panel model are more progressive than 12th FC recommended transfers. Srivastava (2010) reviewed the overall design of transfers recommended by 13th FC by decomposing these to identify their vertical and equaliz-
ing content and found that 13th FC has successfully achieved 90.5 percent of the needed equalization.

From the analysis of afore-mentioned literature, it is observed that few studies in the Indian context empirically verified the equalization aspect of FC transfers. Most of the studies [except Chakraborty study (2003)] analyzed the data either in a cross section framework or aggregating years without considering a panel by incorporating state-specific and time-specific variation. Further no studies include the recent data set.

**EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY AND RESULTS**

This section outlines the empirical framework of the study and reports results.

**Data and methodology**

The annual data for 15 major states for the period from 1993-94 to 2007-08 are taken from secondary sources for analysis. The states included in the study are Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Goa, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. The figures for Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Bihar are considered for undivided states. Net State Domestic Product data at current prices and constant prices in the 1993-94 series and 1999-2000 series and populations figures for states were obtained from Central Statistical Organisation (CSO). Data from 1993-94 series were converted to 1999-2000 series by splicing method. The data for various types of federal transfers were obtained from Handbook of statistics on State Government Finances (2010), Reserve Bank of India. The figures were deflated by the ratio of current to constant price NSDP figures to obtain data at constant 1999-2000 prices. The summary statistics of the variables used are reported in Appendix-2. Fixed and random effect models of panel data regression for various types of per capita federal transfers to the states were formulated using Log-linear specification. State and Time dummies are included. The selection of coefficients between fixed effect and random effect models was made using Hausman (1978) test. The specific regression equation for the analysis is as follows:

\[ \ln \text{PCT}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln X_{it} + U_{it} \]

Where, \( \text{PCT}_{it} = \) Per capita Central Transfers of type \( h \) and \( h = 1,2,3. \)

- \( h_1 = \) Per capita FC Transfers
- \( h_2 = \) Per capita Tax devolution
- \( h_3 = \) Per capita FC grants
- \( X = \) Per capita NSDP
- \( U = \) Error term
- \( \beta_0 = \) intercept coefficient
- \( \beta_1 = \) Coefficient of Per capita NSDP
- \( i = \) State (1 to 15), \( t = \) Time (1 to 15)

**Results**

The results of Hausman test support in favour of fixed effect model. Hence, coefficients of fixed effect model are reported. Table-1 shows the fixed effect panel regression coefficients of per capita FC transfers, per capita tax transfers and per capita FC grants in log-linear specifications. Per capita NSDP emerged significant at one percent level of significance and robust in influencing FC transfers and its components in per capita terms. But, the sign of the coefficient of per capita NSDP in all three equations is found to be positive. This indicates that the fiscal capacity of states as proxied by the per capita income positively determines the FC transfers. A one percent increase in per capita NSDP increases FC transfers by 0.984 percent, tax sharing by 0.99 percent and FC grants by 0.92 percent. Hence, FC transfers are observed to be regressive in nature for the whole period under study. In other words, under the study period the FC transfers are not fiscal equalizing. The economic influence in terms of NSDP positively determines transfers. The advanced states are also rewarded with more tax shares and certain grants.
Table 1: Fixed effect (within) Regression coefficients: Log-linear specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>(1) Total FC Transfers</th>
<th>(2) Tax sharing</th>
<th>(3) FC Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSDP</td>
<td>0.984* (14.21)</td>
<td>0.997* (16.71)</td>
<td>0.920* (4.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-3.302* (-4.89)</td>
<td>-3.475* (-5.98)</td>
<td>-15.921* (-3.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Square</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F test</td>
<td>201.80*</td>
<td>279.08*</td>
<td>18.28*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates significant at one percent level of significance and t-values are given in parenthesis.

As robustness checking estimates are obtained for linear specification. The regression coefficients under linear specification are shown in appendix-1. The results found are similar to the baseline results and progressivity is not reflected. The results found here are supported by earlier studies like Babu (1999), Chakraborty (2003). The possible reasons that can be advocated for transfers being regressive are: the design of transfers, little emphasis on the relative share of grants in the total transfers and declining emphasis on redistributive criteria in tax devolution. Das and Mishra study (2008) also supports the view that the regressive nature of transfers is the result of decline in the relative weightage of redistributive factors.

CONCLUSION

The paper is an attempt to empirically examine the fiscal equalization aspect of FC transfers in India. In order to analyze the impact of FC transfers on fiscal capacity equalization of Indian states, per capita FC Transfers, per capita Tax devolution and per capita FC grants are alternatively regressed on per capita NSDP of states. Fixed effect within estimation technique is employed to obtain coefficients. The result shows that Per capita NSDP is significant, robust and positively influences FC transfers and its components in per capita terms. Hence, it can be inferred that the FC transfers fail to equalize fiscal capacity of states during the study period. The non equalizing nature of fiscal transfers may have serious implications on inter-state differences in the adequacy and the quality provision of services, human resource development and ultimately growth. The study calls for appropriate design of transfers by adequately increasing the share of grants in total transfers and rearranging weights in favour of redistributive criteria in order to address the regressive nature of central transfers.
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**APPENDIX - 1**

**Fixed effect (within) Regression coefficients:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>(1) Total FC Transfers</th>
<th>(2) Tax sharing</th>
<th>(3) FC Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSDP</td>
<td>0.021* (8.09)</td>
<td>0.023* (11.24)</td>
<td>0.002** (2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>87.33* (63.69)</td>
<td>108.55* (2.89)</td>
<td>-0.344 (-0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Square</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F test</td>
<td>65.53*</td>
<td>126.42*</td>
<td>10.86*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * and ** indicate significant at one percent and five percent level of significance respectively and t-values are given in parenthesis.

**APPENDIX - 2**

**SUMMARY STATISTICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita total FC Transfer</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>613.21</td>
<td>389.199</td>
<td>161.59</td>
<td>2614.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita Tax Devolution</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>574.75</td>
<td>369.50</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2512.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita FC Grants</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>58.4213</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>320.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita NSDP</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>19845.92</td>
<td>14145.72</td>
<td>3037</td>
<td>105582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Obs - Observations, S.D. - Standard Deviation, Min. – Minimum, and Max. – Maximum
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Abstract: This paper discusses the aspects of lease financing in Bangladesh. Bangladesh is a small but densely populated country in the South Asia and the people of it mainly depend on agricultural. Due to globalization and liberalization the country gradually shifting towards industrialization and lease becomes a pioneering and alternative way to develop the country through business and industrialization. Lease financing is the most important issue, which determines the direction of financial behavior in an organization, a financial level of effort, and the organization’s level of perseverance in the face of obstacles of other types of financing. To develop leasing business in Bangladesh it is needed for its convenience and flexibility in financing. An attempt has been taken here to explore the lease financing of Bangladesh in some details.

INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is a small country densely populated country in the South Asia. The people of it mainly depend on agricultural. So that land is the main asset of this country. Due to globalization and liberalization the country gradually shifting towards industrialization. Financial globalization does not always work to encourage economic development because it often leads to devastating financial crises which are a great problem like the developing country Bangladesh. Recently the people of this country are taking risk and are establishing business enterprises. But these entrepreneurs are faced with various problems such as, scarcity of initial capital and shortage of working capital. The businessmen and industrialists need loan for long term and they try to direct financing from commercial banks, specialized banks and other financial institutions. Receiving long term loans from direct financing institutions in Bangladesh is very difficult. Lease financing is the most important issue which determines the direction of financial behavior in an organization, a financial level of effort, and the organization’s level of perseverance in the face of obstacles of other types of financing. Leasing was able to confirm itself in many developed countries as one of the most effective and available mechanisms for financing the expansion and development of the means of production, asset finance necessary for the development and for the application of new technologies in business. Recently some leasing companies of Bangladesh are providing loan to the businessmen and industrialists. They provide loan with some conditions such as, length of lease term, interest rates, renewal and purchase options, cancellation provision and penalties, guarantees by lessee of residual values, amount and timing of lease payment etc.

Leasing is a contract between the owner of the asset which is called lessor and the business that wants to lease the equipment is called lessee or client. The broader definition of the of leasing is a trade and financing method by location by financial institutions specializing in these operations, by financial institutions or directly by manufacturers, to companies that carry out particular operations, or do not have sufficient borrowed or own funds to buy them. The harmonization of the legal framework for international leasing was accomplished on the 28 May 1988, by the adoption of the convention on international financial leasing by the Commission of the International Institute for Uniformity of Private Law (UNIDROIT), at Ottawa, which 59 countries participated and signed (Simon n.d.).

Financial globalization is primarily confined to rich countries that are from rich to other rich countries rather than, from rich to poor countries. Most international capital flows are just exchanges of assets between rich countries and are not flowing to poor countries to enhance their
development (Obstfeld and Taylor 2004). But in recent years there is a huge increase in international capital flows. Lucas (1990) has pointed out that labor is incredibly cheap in poor countries, and so that capital would be very productive there. In a poor country wages are one-tenth those of in the USA, so that running a factory might be profitable there. Capital should have extremely high returns in poor countries and we would then expect massive flows of capital from rich countries where the returns on capital should be far lower to poor countries where it is higher. Laura et al. (2004) indicates that as we will see the main reason why capital does not flow from rich to poor countries is because of the weaker institutional environment in poor countries. The financial leasing is an effective investment in fixed funds of the enterprises; depend not only on the existence of laws, but also on the accuracy of leasing operations, effective risk management and a favorable investment climate.

The USA has the largest leasing industry in the world and lease financing contributes approximately one-third of total business investments. The USA is currently running enormous trade and current account deficits of over $600B (billion) because Americans are buying more goods and services from abroad than they are selling overseas. These deficits are being financed by loans from foreigners, with emerging market countries providing on the order of $200B per year. The Chinese government has accumulated almost $800B of foreign assets, and is now one of the largest holders of the US Treasury Securities in the world. Mohajan (2012) has discussed the comparison between lease and purchase of a machine of a competitive firm with detail mathematical calculations.

THE FINANCIAL SECTOR IN BANGLADESH

The financial sector plays an important role in the development of Bangladesh. The contributions of improvement are as follows (Ahsan et al. 2006, Microfinance Industry Report 2009, Azim et al. 2011):

Money Market

The financial system in Bangladesh includes ‘Bangladesh Bank’ (the Central Bank of Bangladesh), scheduled banks, non-bank financial institutions (NBFIs), microfinance institutions (MFIs), insurance companies, co-operative banks, credit rating agencies and the stock exchanges. Among scheduled banks there are 4 nationalized commercial banks (NCBs), 5 state owned specialized banks (SBs), 30 domestic private commercial banks (PCBs), 9 foreign commercial banks (FCBs) and 28 non-bank financial institutions (NBFIs) as on 30 November 2006. Bangladesh Bank supervises and regulates all scheduled banks and non-bank financial institutions operating in Bangladesh. It maintains the traditional central banking roles of note issuance and the banker to the government and banks. It has the legal authority to impose penalties for non-compliance and also to interfere in the management of a bank if serious problems happen. NBFIs are the most important part of financial system in Bangladesh and their operations are regulated under the Financial Institutions Act 1993. The NBFIs consists of investment, finance, leasing companies etc. There are 29 financial institutions operating in Bangladesh as of 30 November 2006. Of these one is government owned, 15 are local (private) and the other 13 are established under joint venture with foreign participation. Among the 29 financial institutions, 12 have been listed in the capital market up to 30 November 2006 to strengthen financial capability and the rest are under process to be listed in the capital market. The other financial institutions are House Building Finance Corporation (HBFC), Ansar-VDP Unnayan Bank and Karma Shangsthan which are all state owned (Ahsan et al. 2006, Microfinance Industry Report 2009, Azim et al. 2011).
Capital Market

The capital market in Bangladesh is yet to play its potential role as vehicle for financing long term investment. In Bangladesh it is regulated and supervised by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the SEC Act, 1993. The SEC has issued licenses to 27 non-bank institutions to participate in the capital market of which 19 institutions are Merchant Bankers and Portfolio Managers, 7 are Issue Managers and 1 institution acts as Issue Manager and Underwriter. The Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE) was established as a public limited company in April 1954, and the Chittagong Stock Exchange (CSE) was established in April 1995 to deal with the secondary capital market. At the end of 2008 the total number of enlisted securities with DSE stood at 328, of which 273 are listed companies, 14 mutual funds, 8 debentures and 44 treasury bonds. The Investment Corporation of Bangladesh (ICB) was established in 1976 and monitors the capital market which objective is to encourage and broaden the base of industrial investment. ICB also operates in both DSE and CSE as a dealer. There are some scheduled banks, such as Bangladesh Shilpa Bank (BSB), Bangladesh Shilpa Rin Sangstha (BSRS), Bangladesh Small Industries and Commerce (BASIC) Bank Limited, National Commercial Bank and some foreign banks are engaged in long-term industrial financing (Ahsan et al. 2006, Microfinance Industry Report 2009, Azim et al. 2011).

Insurance

The insurance sector is regulated by the Insurance Act, 1938 with regulatory oversight provided by the Controller of Insurance with authority granted by the Ministry of Commerce. A total of 68 insurance companies operate in Bangladesh, of which 21 provide life insurance and 47 are in the general insurance field. Among these life insurance companies, except for the state-owned Jiban Bima Corporation (GBC), a foreign owned American Life Insurance Company (ALICO), and the rest are privately owned. Regarding the general insurance companies, state-owned Shadharan Bima Corporation (SBC) is the most active in the insurance sector. A total of 44 insurance companies are listed in the capital market, of which 9 are life insurance. Delta Life Insurance is the market leader among the private sector insurance companies (Ahsan et al. 2006, Microfinance Industry Report 2009, Azim et al. 2011).

Microfinance Institutions

Microfinance Institutions (MFI) in Bangladesh have been unregulated since their inception. The government, with the close cooperation of Bangladesh Bank, established a regulatory framework which terminated in the enactment of the Micro-credit Regulatory Authority Act, 2006. The Act is containing 52 articles and 98 sub-articles. An Executive Board consisting of eight members is responsible for executing the management’s general and administrative tasks. The Board consists of the Governor of Bangladesh Bank as ex-officio chairperson, six government officials nominated by the government and one executive vice-president, who serve as the board’s member secretary. The authority’s main responsibilities include issuance and cancellation of licenses for micro-credit, and overseeing, supervising and facilitating all MFI activities. Grameen Bank was established in 1983 under a special law with the initial support from the Bangladesh Bank. The typically landless borrowers of Grameen Bank are mostly women, who are owners of the bank. In recognition of the robust poverty eradication program through microfinance activities, the Grameen Bank and its founder, Dr. Muhammad Yunus, were awarded the 2006 Nobel Peace Prize. It has now been globally recognized that microfinance can be easily implemented and has been replicated in many regions of the world as an effective anti-poverty tool. The member-owned Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) have an explicit social agenda to help poorer sections of the population, and particularly focus on rural women as clients. There are al-
so more than 1,000 semi-formal institutions operating mostly in the rural sector of the country of these, BRAC, ASA, and PROSHIKA are considered the three largest NGO-MFIs. BRAC, one of the largest MFIs in Bangladesh, has taken recourse to structured finance by securitizing its micro-credit operation recently. This revolutionary innovation is for the first time in Bangladesh. It is anticipated that if the process of micro-credit securitization can go well and unhindered it will open up the opportunity for MFIs to diversify source of fund, reduce on-balance assets and have abundant fund for disbursement (Ahsan et al. 2006, Microfinance Industry Report 2009, Azim et al. 2011).

Cooperative Banks
In Bangladesh 119 cooperative banks are operating, of which 64 are central cooperative banks, 48 are land mortgage and rest 7 are other cooperative banks. The maximum share of total assets (90%) occupied by central cooperatives. Similarly maximum share deposits (85%) and advances (90%) are handled by central cooperatives (Ahsan et al. 2006).

THE BASIC PRINCIPLES OF A LEASE AGREEMENT
Leasing is a contract between the owner of the asset which is called lessor and the business that wants to lease the equipment is called lessee/client. Hence lease is a contract between the owner and the user of assets for a certain time period during which the second party uses an asset in exchange of making periodic rental payments to the first party without purchasing it. In up-fronted lease more rental are charged in the initial period and less in the later years of the contract and the opposite happens in back-ended leases. Under lease financing, the lessee regularly pays the fixed lease rent over a period of time at the beginning or at the end of 1 month, 3 months, 6 months or 1 year and at the end of the lease contract the asset reverts to the real owner. Leasing is a standard way of financing through which the payment being spread over the period of the lease and the installments paid being deductible according to the type of leasing contract. The client agrees to make payments to the leasing company over the life of the agreement and can purchase the equipment, return it to the lessor, or negotiate a lease extension, when the original agreement expires. Most lease contracts run from 3 to 7 years; however, irrigation and other agricultural equipment leases often run a bit longer, to about 10 years. In the long-term lease contract the lessee is generally given an option to buy or renew the lease. Lease financing is an asset management based business that requires specialized expertise. It is more profitable in some special sectors where other financing will be less profitable than lease financing. Recently lease financing is the most emphasized topic to any challenging institution or organization to develop their financial resources as well as profit maximization or maximization of owner’s equity. All types of assets such as land, buildings, plant and machinery equipment and transports are related to lease. The three major types of leases are the operating lease, financial/capital lease and the direct financing lease. By lease financing an organization can reach its specific destination. If an organization has effective lease financing efficiency it can survive and develop quickly than others.

Leasing contracts usually include the following terms:
- Although the lessor is the legal owner of a leased asset, the lessee bears the risk and enjoys the returns. The lessee benefits if the assets operates ownership and use as two economic activities, and facilitates asset use without ownership (Miller and Upton 1976).
- The leasing company remains the equipment owner. The client acquires the right of temporary possession and use.
The client must pay one or more lease payments when the lease is signed and the client obtains possession of the equipment; subsequent payments are usually made at periodic intervals.

The leasing company may or may not recognize a salvage value in calculating leasing payments.

Often the lease cannot be canceled, and if canceled, a substantial penalty may be imposed.

Typically, the client is responsible for property taxes, insurance, and repairs not covered by the warranty.

When the lease period ends, the client has the option to purchase the equipment, renew the lease, or return the equipment to the lessor.

Sometimes the lease contract is divided into primary and secondary lease for the purpose of lease rentals. Primary lease provides for the recovery of the cost of the asset and profit through lease rentals during a period of about 4 to 5 years. A perpetual secondary lease may follow it on nominal rentals. Leasing can cover anything from the hiring of a power tool for a day or the hiring a car for months to the hiring of a fleet of aircraft for decades or the hire of a building for centuries. Where assets are hired for longer periods they are usually referred to as being leased rather than hired but there is no clear distinction between the two terms.

A lessee can be individual or a firm interested in the use of an asset without owning. Lessors may be equipment manufacturer or leasing who bring together the manufacturer and users. In the USA equipment manufacturers and the largest group of lessors followed by bank.

**CLASSIFICATION OF LEASES**

A lease can be modified to the lessee’s specific needs and structured in a number of ways. From the perspective of the lessor, there are three basic types of leases as follows:

**Capital or Financial Lease**

Long-term, non-cancelable lease contracts are known as financial leases. It combines some of the benefits of leasing with those of ownership. Hence a finance lease is structured as a non-cancelable agreement, where the leasing company buys the equipment which the client has chosen and the client uses the equipment for a significant period of its useful life. Financial leases also are called full-payout leases because payments during the lease term amortize the lessor’s total purchase costs with a residual value of up to 5% of the original gaining price. Sometimes the present value of the minimum lease payment equals or exceeds 90% of the fair value of the leased property. Most financial leases are direct leases. The lessor buys the asset identified by the lessee from the manufacturer and signs a contract to lease it out to the lessee. Office building, multipurpose industrial building and even complete shopping centers are frequently financed with this method. Most lease backs are on a net-net basis, which means that the lessee pay all maintenance expense, property taxes, insurance and lease payment (Hamilton 1992, John 1964, Khanam 1995, Islam 1999, Bass and Henderson 2000). A financial lease agreement may provide for renewable of contract or purchase the asset by the lessee after the contract expires.

**Operating Lease**

An operational lease involves the lessee only renting an asset over a time period which is substantially less than the asset’s economic life. In such cases operating lease may run for 3 to 5 years. The lease is usually responsible for maintenance and insurance. It is cancelable by the lessee prior to its expiration, the lessor provides service, maintenance and insurance, and the sum of all lease payments by the lessee does not necessary fully provide for the recovery of the asset cost. The leasing agency retains ownership of the equipment during the lease and recovers its
capital costs through multiple rentals and the asset’s final sale (Jones 1992, Islam 1999, Bass and Henderson 2000). In economic matter a finance lease is a loan of money with the asset as security. The economic ownership of the asset, the risks and rewards of ownership lies with the lessee. Hence the finance lessee buys the asset with a loan from the finance lessor. A finance lease may be viewed as an arrangement under which the lessor provides the money to buy an asset which is used by the lessee in return for an interest charge and the lessor has security because he owns the asset.

Sale Leaseback

The sale leaseback is a transaction in which the owner of the property sells the property to another which is simultaneously leases it back from the new owner. The use of the property is generally continued without disruption. The advantages of a sale lease back from the seller’s perspective as follows: If the purchase of asset has already been financed then a sale leaseback can allow the seller to refinance at lower rates, if rates of asset have dropped and provide another source of working capital, if liquidity is tight. When a company purchases equipment then it may not realize that it was going to be a minimum tax and that ownership might increase its minimum tax liability. By selling the property, the seller lessee may deduct the entire lease payment that is may not be a minimum tax (Islam 1999). In 1989, Shipping Credit and Investment Corporation of India purchased Great Eastern Shipping Company’s bulk carrier Jag Lata, for Rs.12.5 crore (1 crore = 10,000,000) and then leased it back to Great Eastern Shipping Company on a 5-year lease, the rental being Rs.28.13 lakh (1 lakh = 100,000) per month and the ship’s written down book value was Rs.2.5 crore.

Hire-purchase Lease

A hire-purchase lease is an alternative to a lending transaction for the asset purchase and usually employed for retail or individual financing of smaller ticket items, such as motorcycles, sewing machines, refrigerators etc. The client assumes a higher down payment up to 30% of the purchase price, and with each lease payment retains a higher percentage of equipment ownership to build equity. In this type of purchase the asset price and risk involved in the financial transaction are spread over the lease term (Bass and Henderson 2000).

There are some other terminologies of lease as follows:

Leveraged Lease

Leveraged lease involves lessor, lessee and financier. Lessor provides equity equal to approximately 25% of the asset’s cost while the remaining amount is provided by the financial institution, mainly as loan. Now it is a global popular method of financing for expensive assets.

Cross-border Lease

In the cross-border or international lease the lessor and lessee are situated in two different countries. The lease transaction takes place between companies or parties of two or more countries. It involves relationships and tax implications more complex than the domestic lease.

Direct Lease

Direct lease is a mix of operating and finance lease on a full payout basis and provides for the purchase option to the lessee.

Wet and Dry Lease

In the aircraft industry when the lease involves financing, servicing and providing fuel, then it is called wet lease. On the other hand if the lease is only related to financing then it is called dry lease.
**Master Lease**

Master lease provides finance longer than asset’s economic life and holds the lessor responsible for providing equipment in good operating system during the lease period.

**Closed and Open Ended Lease**

In the closed ended lease the asset gets transferred to the lessor at the end the risk of obsolescence, residual value etc. remain with the lessor, as lessor being the legal owner of the asset. In the open ended lease the lessee has the option of purchasing the asset at the end of the lease.

**LEASE FINANCING IN BANGLADESH**

Leasing can be traced back 5,000 years to ancient Greece and the Middle East, where it was used in agricultural transactions. The mechanization of agriculture and the Industrial Age brought further specialization to leasing (Bass and Henderson 2000). Leasing was known in ancient Babylon in 2000 BC. Including leasing practice in economic relations took place in the year 1877, when telephone company ‘Bell’ decided not to sell the telephone appliances made by them, but to lease them. The lease of asset started in the USA and in Europe to business and industry development since World War II. After World War II, the victorious allies decided to create a new international system to promote world trade and prosperity, which resulted in the establishment of two new international financial institutions, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, and also the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) whose successor organization was the World Trade Organization (WTO) (Mishkin 2005). At present thousands of businesses which are long on ideas and short on cash are using leasing as a simple and flexible financing solution to increase productivity and generate profits.

Bangladesh is a developing country; the national calamity and political unrest slow the industrial growth as well as economic growth of the country. In this country most of the people depend on agriculture only. Lease financing was first introduced in Bangladesh in the early 1980s. Industrial Development Leasing Company of Bangladesh Limited (IDLC), the first leasing company of the country, was established in 1986 under the regulatory framework of Bangladesh Bank. It was a joint venture of the Industrial Promotion and Development Company of Bangladesh Limited (IPDC), International Finance Corporation, and Korea Development Leasing Corporation. Another leasing firm, the United Leasing Company Limited started its operations in 1989. The number of leasing companies grew quickly after 1994 and by the year 2000, raised to 16. In terms of money value, the leasing business in Bangladesh increased from Tk.41.44M (million) (here Bangladeshi currency is Taka = Tk.) in 1988 to Tk.3.16B in 2000. The leasing companies now operating in the country are as follows: Industrial Development Leasing Company of Bangladesh, United Leasing Company, GSP Finance Company (Bangladesh), Uttara Finance and Investments, Bay Leasing and Investment, Phoenix Finance & Investments Limited (PFIL) (Former Phoenix Leasing Company Limited), Prime Finance and Investment, International Leasing and Financial Services, Union Capital, Vanik Bangladesh, Peoples Leasing and Financial Services, Bangladesh Industrial Finance Company, UAE-Bangladesh Investment Company, Bangladesh Finance and Investment Company, and First Lease International. The objectives of lease financing in Bangladesh being as follows:

- to assist the development and promotion of productive enterprises by providing equipment lease financing and related services,
- to assist in balancing, modernization, replacement and expansion of existing enterprises,
- to extend financial support to small and medium scale enterprises,
- to provide finance for various agriculture equipment, and
to activate the capital market by operating as managers to the issue, underwriters, or portfolio managers.

The leasing business in Bangladesh involves in regular leasing activities and is now involved in stock-market related activities such as issue management, underwriting, trust management, private placement, portfolio management and mutual fund operations. The lease financing services are textiles, food and beverage, transport, apparels and accessories, paper and printing, pharmaceuticals, construction and engineering, chemicals, telecommunications, agro-based industries, and leather and leather products. Private leasing companies attract clients by providing relatively better services and the down payments of their leasing are not high and the growth period is low. In Bangladesh leasing companies face some problems in conducting their business. The relatively slow growth of the demand side compared to the fast growth of the lease business is one such problem. Leasing companies often find difficulties to raise funds through short- or long-term borrowing from money and capital markets. They are hard pressed to deal with the financial assets because of the present laws of the country, which are also not fully enforceable. The leasing market is becoming more competitive because of the new leasing companies are entering in the market. The political stability and overall economic development is an essential precondition of the smooth growth of this sector.

CONTRIBUTION OF LEASING COMPANIES IN BANGLADESH

There are mainly three leasing companies in Bangladesh which are as follows: The Industrial Development Leasing Company (IDLC) Limited, The United Leasing Company (ULC) Limited and Phoenix Finance & Investments Limited (PFIL). Recently some other leasing companies such as, Peoples Leasing Company, Industrial Leasing and Financial Services Limited, The Uttara Finance & Investment Company Limited, Bay Eastern Leasing Company, GSP Finance, Prime Finance & Investment Limited, Vonike and Prime Bank Limited have emerged in the financial market of Bangladesh.

Industrial Development Leasing Company Limited

Industrial Development Leasing Company Limited (IDLC) is the first and the largest leasing company of Bangladesh. IDLC of Bangladesh limited is established in 1985 as a joint venture public limited company with the multinational collaboration of International Development Finance Institution, Commercial Banks, Insurance Company and Foreign Leasing Corporation. In October 1990 it has established its first branch at Chittagong. Its primary focus has been in the area of 3-5 year term financial leasing with particular emphasis on balancing, modernization, replacement and expansion (BMRE) of existing units. It has been established with the multinational collaboration of Development Finance Institutions, Commercial banks, Insurance and leasing companies. After 10 years of its commencement, IDLC has captured almost 60% of the total market share of lease finance in the country. It was listed in Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE) on the 20 March 1993 and on the 7 February 1994 it was duly licensed under the Bangladesh Bank. It finance very few to individuals but corporate financed through operating lease is the general nature of business. Generally, IDLC does not encourage new entrepreneurs or new line of business. Modernizing, replacing and expanding are the main objectives of leasing, when equipment is concerned for vehicle both new and reconditioned cars is taken into consideration. Its clientele is primarily corporate bodies. The ratio of corporate to individual clients is 95:5. It has experienced increasing growth of lease contracts from 1993 to 1997 and compound growth rate of lease contract was 29.31%. Operational revenue has increased from Tk.275.54M in 1993 to Tk.768.55M in 1997. It also started an additional and alternative source of capital asset financing in different enterprises in the private sector. Short-term finance has broadened its customer base and is ex-
pected to contribute significantly to its growth and profitability. At present lease financing has grown to be an industry of Tk.3.5B per annum.

**United Leasing Company Limited**


**Phoenix Finance and Investments Limited**

Phoenix Finance & Investments Limited (PFIL) (Former Phoenix Leasing Company Limited), one of the leading and reliable multi products Financial Institution in Bangladesh has incorporated on 19 April 1995 and started business on the same date. It was duly licensed under Bangladesh Bank on 9 May 1995 as a non-banking financial institution under Financial Institution Act 1993. It signed the first lease agreement on the 21 September 1995. It opened its first branch in Chittagong on 25 September 1996. The company is being operated through its all offices located at Dhaka (main office), Chittagong, Khulna and Bogra districts in Bangladesh. The authorized capital of the company is Tk.500M and issued; subscribed and paid-up capital is Tk.50M (Annual Report 1992-1997). The Company has changed its name to Phoenix Finance and Investments Limited from Phoenix Leasing Company Limited with effect from first February 2007 complying with all the legal requirements in that respect.

Authorized capital of the Company is Tk.1B divided into 10,000,000 ordinary shares of TK.100 each. PFIL has floated its share through the Initial Public Offering (IPO) of the Company for 12,50,000 ordinary share of Tk.100 each for amounting to Tk.125M in 2007. Now the paid up capital of the company has been raised to 3,361,875 share amounting to Tk.336,187,500. The shares of the company were listed with DSE and CSE on 25 September 2007.

Sponsor shareholders of the company includes a renowned corporate body namely Phoenix Insurance Company Ltd., a leading insurance company in Bangladesh. Others are individuals having wide range of experience in the field of commerce and industries.

The main objective of PFIL is to allocate scarce financial resources to capital investment through funding in capital machinery/equipment specially balancing, modernization, replacement and expansion (BMRE) of the existing industrial enterprise to stimulate the industrial development of the country and also to provide financial assistance through leasing and other multi-dimensional products and services to all levels of entrepreneurs for a wider range of asset acquisition.

The company has also diversified its products and services to such other areas as housing and real estate, bridge financing, short-term and mid-term loan and startup working capital to cater to divergent needs of the economy. It also opened a Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) branch in
Dhaka on 7 February 2007 for promoting SME exclusively for alleviation of poverty through creation of employment and generation of income on a sustainable basis. Besides this, PFIL also recently acquired 100% ownership of Brokerage Company dealing with the DSE to further diversify its investment activities.

The company extends lease finance for capital machinery, energy generating equipments, construction and medical equipments, office equipments, all kinds of road and marine transports, household and other essential items and equipments for business enterprises like mills, factories, financial institutions, banks and insurance companies as well as educational institutions, clinics, hospitals, corporate bodies and individuals. The company also extends term loan and house building loan facilities to established business enterprises, industrial units and individuals.

**Bay Leasing and Investment Limited**

Bay Leasing & Investment Limited (BLI) is a public limited company was incorporated in Bangladesh on 7 February 1996 under the Companies Act 1994 and Bangladesh Bank has granted license to the company on 25 May 1996 to function as a NBFI under the Financial Institution Act 1993 and the Financial Institutional Regulation of 1994. It also registered itself as a Merchant Bank with the Securities & Exchange Commission on 25 June 1998. It extends lease financing as its core business for all types of machinery and equipment including vehicles for industrial, commercial and private purpose. It has also expanded its activities into term financing, housing finance and merchant banking operations. The authorized and paid up capital of the company stood as Tk.500M and Tk.102M respectively as on 31 December 2007 (Bay Leasing and Investment Limited 2008).

**Bangladesh Finance and Investment Company Limited**

Bangladesh Finance and Investment Company Limited (BFICL) is a non-banking finance company incorporated in Bangladesh on 10 May 1999 as a public limited company and it began business on 15 February 2000. It’s authorized and paid up capital are Tk.500M and Tk.23M respectively, and the capital is divided into ordinary shares of Tk.100 each. The business objectives of the company are moving out direct trade, term and working capital financing, housing finance, equity participation, financial and industrial counseling, fund management, and merchant banking activities of all types. Main sectors in which the company has targeted to lease and invest are transport, electric and electronic goods (including computers), leather, textile, printing, marine vehicles and equipment, steel and engineering, fishing boats and trawlers, medical equipment and small scale industries. It purchases property in its own name and pays 60% to 70% of the total price of a particular property to its supplier. It signs lease contracts with the lessee, generally for 2 to 4 years, and handed over the properties to him for use. Lease installments generally payable on a monthly basis and are determined on the basis of the lease price of properties and other relevant factors.

**Uttara Finance and Investments Limited**

Uttara Finance and Investments Limited (UFIL) is a joint venture leasing and financing company in Bangladesh. It is registered as a non-banking financial institution under the Financial Institutions Act 1993 and the Companies Act 1994 with the license from Bangladesh Bank to operate and transact all kinds of businesses as provided under the relevant laws. It has been incorporated on 7 May 1995 but the company received license from Bangladesh Bank on 7 September 1995 and the company started commercial operation from 1 November 1995 and continuing with success. It mainly upholds its commitment towards the development of financial service sector by offering high quality services to the local entrepreneurs and focuses to the ever changing and
challenging business environment. With its continuous drive to improve, it is well on track to be considered as one of the leading leasing company of the country.

**FINANCIAL LEASING IN BUSINESS INVESTMENTS**

Investment decisions are designed to identify and select the most profitable projects for the investment of money on the long-term, so that a company waives its internal flexibility and becomes more vulnerable. The company increases future cash flows, so that the investment decision implies the identification and proper planning of revenues expected during the life cycle of the investment project. Hence the investment process is an important component of strategic management. There are three financial flows that characterize the investment process as follows (Simon n.d.):

i) The cost of the investment is a net negative financial flow, consists of the expenses that the company purchase and installs the equipment, initial value of necessary intangible assets and the original value of the current assets. The cost of the investment of a company is given as follows:

\[ C_I = V_{FA} + V_{IA} + V_{CA} + O_C - V_{LFA}, \] (1)

where,
- \( C_I \) = the cost of the investment,
- \( V_{FA} \) = the value of fixed assets invested,
- \( V_{IA} \) = the value of intangible assets invested,
- \( V_{CA} \) = the initial value of current assets,
- \( O_C \) = other investment costs, and
- \( V_{LFA} \) = the liquidation value of fixed assets replaced.

The liquidation value of the fixed assets is given by;

\[ V_{LFA} = \text{selling price} - (\text{selling price} - \text{stock value}) \times \text{tax rate on income}. \] (2)

ii) In financial flows during the life of the investment project the profit is not a good indicator of the outcome of the economic activity, because it may vary depending on what methods are applied for the assessment of costs. Hence the evaluation of the operational results of the investment is made based on the cash flow. The annual positive flows generated by the investment are as follows:

\[ P_F = N_P + D + A_{IA} + I \pm \Delta W_C - O_F, \] (3)

where,
- \( P_F \) = the annual positive flows generated by the investment,
- \( N_P \) = the net profit,
- \( D \) = the annual depreciation of fixed assets,
- \( A_{IA} \) = the annual amortization of intangible assets,
- \( I \) = interest on loans and leasing used to finance the investment,
- \( \Delta W_C \) = the changes in the working capital, and
- \( O_F \) = other financial flows.

iii) Financial flows related to the liquidation of the investment are given by:

\[ L_F = V_{LFA} + W_C, \] (4)

where,
- \( L_F \) = liquidation flow,
- \( V_{LFA} \) = the liquidation of fixed assets, and
- \( W_C \) = working capital when the investment is liquidated.

**DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LEASING AND LENDING**

In an apparent view it seems that there is no difference between lease and lend. But leasing and lending are different financing activities and the difference between them is given as follows:
With a lease, the leasing company gains asset ownership, takes depreciation benefits, and may pass the benefits along to the client through lower rental payments. The business has the option to purchase or re-lease the asset at the fair market value at the lease’s end. The leased equipment is usually all that is needed to secure a lease transaction. A lease requires a small or no down payment and finances only the equipment’s value. The value is expected to be depleted over the lease term. The client usually has an option to buy the equipment for its remaining value at the end of lease.

On the other hand in lending a loan requires the borrower to pledge assets for collateral. The end user bears all risk of equipment devaluation. Upon signing for a loan, the end user must pay a down payment. The loan finances the remaining amount.

Leasing terms may be longer than loan terms because leasing is tailored to the life of the asset and a business’ cash flow. Total expenses in lease are higher than a loan and lease arrangements are usually more liberal than loans. The risks for finance leases are not significantly larger than that for loans because residual value risk is rarely involved and the liability and litigation risk is offset by the lesser portfolio risk.

**ADVANTAGES AND DRAWBACKS OF LEASING**

The World Bank’s private sector farm, asset-based financing and leasing are particularly attractive to small and medium-sized businesses. Because the leasing company keeps legal ownership of the leased asset over the lease term, and a lessee can qualify for the leased equipment based on generated cash flow rather than credit history, assets, or capital base. The leasing has some disadvantages also. Some of the advantages of leasing are as follows (Amembal 2000, Carter 1996, Nair 2004):

- In most developing countries, leasing may be the only form of medium- to long-term financing available to purchase of equipment that can expand production levels or increase productivity of workers. It also micro finance for fixed assets which provides MFIs with an opportunity to reach new borrowers and expand existing markets. Leasing is perhaps the most important advantage in developing countries, where unclear property rights, poorly functioning asset registries, and weak laws of secured transaction constrain lending. In case of default, legal ownership of the equipment allows a lessor to repossess equipment more easily than it is for a lender to take possession of collateral in case of loan repayment defaults. The nature of asset ownership in a lease transaction also has an advantage if the lessee declares bankruptcy then lease payments have priority over loan payments and typically, the lessee is allowed to continue making lease payments.

- Growth of leasing sector requires that leasing companies have access to capital market which leads to increased borrowing from banks as well as from the capital market, thereby increasing the gross capital base of a country. Leasing companies raise capital from pension funds and insurance companies, innovative bond offerings, securitizing of their lease receivables, and listing in the equity market. All these activities contribute to capital market development.

- Lessors are often willing to lease to entities that cannot access bank credit. Legal ownership of leased assets allows the lessor to require lesser equity than demanded by lenders and less or no additional collateral. SMEs benefit most from leasing. Finance for SMEs has been called the ‘missing middle’ of finance since banks mostly address the needs of large enterprises and microfinance organizations (MFOs) are increasingly addressing the needs of micro-enterprises (World Bank Group and IFC 2003).
As an additional source of investment financing, leasing competes with bank financing. This provides incentive for both banks and lessors to become more efficient and innovative, leading to better products, and lower spreads in interest rates. Well-developed leasing markets in the USA and Western Europe suggest that leasing can play an important role in overall economic growth. Leasing is also an effective mechanism to help businesses without credit history and collateral needs to finance investments in equipment. In several developing and transition economies, the leasing sector has contributed significantly to deepening financial markets and overcoming legal and regulatory problems particularly relating to property rights and secured interest in collateral. IFC considers leasing as a high impact development activity in deepening financial markets and supporting SMEs (IFC 2003).

Leasing provides efficient and effective use of available capital, since the leasing company purchases the equipment directly from the supplier, the lessee cannot use borrowed funds for other purposes. Leasing can be arranged more quickly and simply than conventional bank loans because additional security often does not need to be established. The costs of assigning additional collateral, documentation and processing times for bank loans can be significant and usually offset the higher spreads in leasing. Some cases leasing creates an opportunity for lower equipment prices because of higher sales volume.

A lessee avoids many of the restrictive agreements which are normally included in long-term loan agreements while borrowing from financial institutions or commercial banks. Besides, the leasing does not warrant any mortgage of the asset since it belongs to the lessor. In the case of leasing, the asset is made available to the lessee for immediate use without loss of time in applying for loan, waiting for its approval, buying asset subsequently etc. and lease rental payments may also be matched with the cash flows availability of the lessee. A satisfactory understanding of rights and obligations under a lease contract can serve to minimize or eliminate adverse decrease in service or resale value of leased equipment arising from deficient maintenance activities. A lease contract will have to incorporate periodic inspections of the leased equipment to confirm adherence to a maintenance program and assessment of equipment value.

Simpler security arrangements, in combination with less stringent requirements for historical balance sheets mean that new small and micro-enterprises can access lease finance more easily than bank loans.

The up-front cash down-payment or security deposit required in a lease contract is lower than the equity component in conventional bank financing. Leasing can finance a higher percentage of the capital cost of equipment thereby allowing the business entity to preserve its cash resources or existing bank facilities to meet working capital needs. Leasing contracts can more easily be structured to match the cash flow generation of the lessee’s business. In some cases, the down payment required may be less than the typical 10%.

In a typical tax-treatment of leasing, lessors benefit from being able to take capital allowances on the leased equipment. Tax incentives are available through lease financing. Lessees can equalize their full lease payments against income before tax, compared to the depreciation allowance or the interest charges on bank loans. The lessors may be able to pass on to lessees some tax benefits related to the depreciation charges they can
book as owners of the asset leased, by lowering their financing costs or reducing their spread between funding cost vis-à-vis lease discount factor.

- The leasing company keeps ownership of the leased asset, which is generally used as collateral for the transaction, contributing toward simpler legal security arrangements and providing a tax deduction for asset depreciation.

- Lease transactions can be arranged quickly and simply. As a result, the lessor can more accurately project cash flow during the equipment lease term, assuming that the client meets lease payments. Knowing the cash flow associated with the lease facilitates planning and mitigates risk. Lenient requirements mean that clients can more easily access financing.

- Leasing typically offers medium- to long-term financing at a fixed rate over the term of the lease. The leasing company can then easily quantify the margins between funding and transaction costs on the lease contract.

- Transaction costs of contracting a lease is likely to be lower than that of executing a loan contract since the cost of creating, perfecting, and enforcing security for loans is avoided. In most developing countries, asset registries are not computerized and are fragmented geographically or by type of asset. This makes security perfection a long and costly process. Similarly, enforcing security is typically an unwieldy process and costly process. In contrast, repossession of leased equipment is usually faster and cheaper.

The disadvantages of leasing are as follows (Bass and Henderson 2000):

- Asset ownership remains with the lessor, which sustains the cost related depreciation. In most cases, the lease term is usually shorter than the asset’s useful life. MFIs should consider the asset’s life span and potential for resale because these influence the terms and costs of the lease.

- Many costs are fixed and independent of the characteristics of the leasing company, client, or asset. Hence for leases on lower priced assets, transaction costs will be a more significant payment component.

- The revenue generated through leasing must exceed the lessor’s cost of funds to be profitable. An MFI’s cost of funds tends to be higher than present market rates. Given the nature of leasing and its substantial up-front capital costs, this can have a negative impact on the MFI’s liquidity and decreases its profitability.

- A major difference between leasing and lending is the residual value of leasing; this is the book value that the leasing company uses to depreciate an asset during the lease term. This is the wild card of leasing because huge profits or losses are occasioned on realization of the salvage value. Measurement of the future value of assets includes both the potential gain and possible loss and is extremely important to the profitability of leasing.

GLOBAL LEASING MARKET AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT

The global leasing market was worth $476.6B in 2001 (World Leasing Yearbook 2003). Only Europe and North America account for 82.7% of this market volume. Modern leasing emerged in the 1950s as a specialized financial service industry in the USA. The industry expanded to Europe and Japan in the 1960s and to the developing countries in the 1970s. Table-1 gives the leasing volumes in selected countries of the world. Leasing is used in all economic sectors and for all asset types.
Table 1: Annual leasing volumes in selected countries, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Annual Leasing Volume ($ billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.A.</td>
<td>242.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>58.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>34.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: World Leasing Yearbook 2003

In the USA 10.2% of the leasing volume is in agriculture (World Leasing Yearbook 2003). Usually the significance of leasing in a country is estimated by market penetration.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we have discussed aspects of financial leasing in Bangladesh in some details. Leasing business in Bangladesh has been developed within a very short period of time and its further development is increasing continuously. The popularity of lease financing in Bangladesh is due to tax advantages, timesaving and conservation of cash and funds provide by the lessors. At the first sight the lease finance seems to be simple but it is not so and a correct decision requires an assessment of almost all the surfaces of financial decision making. The leasing companies should establish their own systems of risk assessment and control to avoid their occurrence or, when risks do appear, to have good methods and techniques of risk management. The government and entrepreneurs of Bangladesh must be active to develop the lease financing for the economic development of the country. We have investigated some financial leasing companies who are operating in Bangladesh. The difference between lease and lend is given briefly but the advantages and drawbacks of leasing are given elaborately. Finally we have highlighted global leasing market to compare the leasing of Bangladesh with developed countries.
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**Abstract:** The paper brings out the major issues related to women entrepreneurs in small and medium scale enterprises (SMEs) in Saudi Arabia as most of the women entrepreneurs in other countries face lot of challenges like discrimination, lack of business expertise and lack of capital. This paper focuses on the socio-cultural and educational constraints faced by the SMEs and other aspects such as types of business they prefer, sources of their entrepreneurial capital, pre and post start up challenges faced while starting and during running their businesses and the role of Saudi government and Business women center of Asharqia Chamber of Commerce in encouraging their participation. Asharqia Chamber of Commerce plays a significant role in facilitating networking between different enterprises, businesses and entrepreneurs. One of the departments of Chamber of Commerce is called business women center, and they ensure smooth and successful birth and growth of business in Eastern province of Saudi Arabia.

**INTRODUCTION**

In Saudi Arabia today, there is a new breed of entrepreneurs that is gradually reshaping the economic landscape. These talented men and women are ‘pushing the envelope’ in their respective communities and challenging longstanding assumptions about value creation and risk aversion in the Arab world. Saudi Arabia has been the home of business leaders for a very long time. The Prophet Muhammad and many of his early supporters were traders and merchants. Equally impressive, Khadija, his wife, was a highly successful businesswoman long before she met the Prophet. But the Kingdom’s business environment has not always favored start-ups. Fifteen years ago, the entrepreneurial environment there was akin to Saudi Arabia’s vast Empty Quarter. Today, that start-up “desert” is in full bloom. The Prince Sultan bin Abdul Aziz Fund to Support Women’s Small Enterprises was established in 2007 in Dammam. Initiated by HRH Prince Mohammed bin Fahd bin Abdul Aziz, Governor of Saudi Arabia’s Eastern Province, the fund provides aspiring women entrepreneurs with technical and financial support. According to Executive Manager Hana Al-Zuhair, the fund has supported 32 projects during the past two years. Saudi women of all ages can apply to the fund, which requires that each applicant submit a business proposal to a review board. The fund is open to aspiring entrepreneurs, as well as to businesswomen seeking to expand their small to medium-sized enterprises. When the finalists are selected, they go through a ten-day training course called “Intilakati” (My Launch), which is just the first step of the process. After successfully completing the training course, the women are required to present their feasibility studies and business plans to a group of potential sponsors. “The fund helped guide us and gave us the tools we needed,” notes interior designer Ala’a Hariri, owner of Ala’a Hariri’s Interiors. “We were taught about human resources development, accounting, finance, marketing, and cash management… in short, everything related to building a business,” she adds. Recognizing the importance of developing leadership skills in young Saudi girls, the Prince Mohammad bin Fahd Leaders Preparation Center was established in 2009. Operating under the umbrella of the Prince Sultan bin Abdul Aziz Fund, the Center is open to Saudi girls between the ages of six and 25. Two programs at the center target different age groups: the
Promising Leaders Program is designed for girls ages six to 15, and the Young Leaders Program caters to girls ages 16 to 25. “We present success stories from the Fund as an example to the girls, and we give them role models to look up to,” says Center supervisor Hind Al-Saghir. In a nation in which more than 50 percent of the population is female and 70 percent of the total population is under the age of 30, Ms. Al-Saghir notes, “We need to prepare the younger generation to lead the future.”

In 2003, the Council of Saudi Chambers of Commerce and Industry established the Development Center for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in recognition of the important role that SMEs play in Saudi Arabia’s emerging economic development by diversifying the economy and creating new jobs. The primary objectives of the Center include educating Saudis in general about the importance of entrepreneurship in Saudi Arabia and strengthening the role of regional Chambers of Commerce as mentors for SMEs. In addition, the Center offers easier access to financing for start-up businesses, prepares studies on the emerging SME sector, and cooperates with the Saudi Exports Development Center to enhance the exporting capability of SMEs. To date, the Center has conducted approximately 500 consultations with entrepreneurs and has registered more than 100 participants in training seminars held throughout the Kingdom. The twenty-five Chambers of Commerce and Industry in Saudi Arabia have initiated numerous programs to encourage innovation and entrepreneurship in the Kingdom. Each of the chambers has opened support centers for businessmen and women, providing new entrepreneurs with consultation, training, financial advice and help in identifying investment opportunities. The Women’s Section in the Riyadh Chamber of Commerce, along with the Khadijah bint Khuwailid Businesswomen’s Center in Jeddah, have become two of the most influential women’s institutions for entrepreneurship and finance in the Arabian Gulf region.

NEED FOR THE STUDY

Women’s enterprises need to be studied as women’s entrepreneurship has been recognized during the last decade as an important unused source of economic growth. In reality they found that women entrepreneurs create new jobs for themselves and others and provide society with different solutions to management, organization and business problems as well as to the exploitation of entrepreneurial opportunities.

In Saudi Arabia especially, the role of women in society changing a lot during the last years, Saudis women are becoming more willing and able to take challenges and achieve success in several areas of public, economic and social life. Most of women now starting contribute to the economy and country by opening Small and medium enterprises which is the primary vehicles to provide a continuous supply of ideas, skills and innovation.

THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

The intention of this study was to know about the extent of opportunities available for women to invest and start business in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the major obstacles faced by SMEs which would affect their success.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following objectives were purposed to give direction to the study:

- To identify the types of business or enterprises that women entrepreneurs prefer to start in Saudi Arabia.
- To identify the various sources of SMEs capital.
- To identify the obstacles that are faced women entrepreneurs while starting and during running their business.
To discuss the role of Saudi government and Business Women Center in encouraging the participation of women in business without hindrances.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The target population was women entrepreneurs from different ages in eastern province. The numbers of trade registry belonging to women were taken also from Ministry of Commerce and Industry in Eastern Province as an example to reflect the increasing of women’s enterprises in the past five years.

Eighty women entrepreneurs participated in answering the questionnaire of this study.

This study falls into the area of non-probability sampling. Purposive sampling was used to select subjects that mean the sample does not involve the random selection of subjects. Questionnaires were given to entrepreneurs based on business or projects and lived in eastern province. This research work is limited to 80 samples only.

**WOMEN IN SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES**

The economy of Saudi Arabia is dominated by large corporations related to oil production and oil byproducts leaving little attention to the development of the economy. Small and medium enterprises only contribute 28 percent of GDP but employ approximately 80% of the workforce. “They face obstacles in getting bank loans and business orders as their products and services do not meet international standards. Additionally, the SMEs (Small and Medium-sized Enterprises) “suffer from a lack of professionalism and of marketing skills; they do not conduct feasibility studies, maintain financial records or prepare annual budgets” (Hassan, 2006).

As there are a number of organizations using different definitions for SMEs in Saudi Arabia few common definitions are given below:

- Small and Medium Enterprises Developments Center at Asharqia Chamber of Commerce defines small enterprises which have not more than 20 employees, while medium size enterprises which have 21-100 workers.
- The Saudi Arabian General Investment Authority classified small enterprises as ones with less than 60 employees and medium size firms which have less than 100 workers.
- The Saudi Industrial Development Fund defines SMEs in terms of annual sales as firms whose annual sales do not exceed SR 20 million for financing purposes.
- Commercial Banks: small firms are those with annual sales between SR 100 thousand to SR 5 million and employees from 2 to 49. Medium size enterprises are firms with annual sales from SR 5 million to 50 million and workers from 50 to 200.

The entire country of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is in need of development in the entrepreneurial sector if they are to move beyond its dependence on oil revenues. Michael E. Porter (2008) includes “foster entrepreneurship and the development of SMEs” in step toward crating competitiveness for the country of Saudi Arabia. Specific recommendations include, “a culture of learning and innovation” and “upgrade human resources.”

A segment of the Saudi Arabian population that warrants special attention regarding entrepreneurship and business development is women. Two examples which impinge their ability to develop businesses are that women are not allowed to drive and must have a male representative to deal directly with the government agencies. One custom which does not affect the women’s ability to do business but presents a negative image to the world is the custom of women being covered from head to toe in a black robe and veil. Attire is irrelevant to entrepreneurial efforts, and media and researchers must look beyond the robes and “get beyond the images of Saudi women as nameless, faceless entities” (Minkus-McKenna, 2005) if they are to be taken seriously. Changes in terms of business development, entrepreneurship and women are occurring within
Saudi Arabia. “Slowly, tentatively, almost imperceptibly to outsiders, the kingdom is redefining its relationship with the modern world” (Molavi, 2006).

An examination of the Kingdom’s laws relating to female nationals reveal that women are to date prohibited by custom to drive, open a business on their own, buy a home and invest in real estate. Even the simplest act of reporting a crime to the local police must be done by a women’s guardian (Abdullah, 2007). Technically, the registration process for a new business is the same whether the business is owned by a man or a woman. “The only regulation imposed on women owned businesses is to have all women staff in designated women’s section with separate entry and exit doors; and a male supervisor in the men’s section (Parker, 2007).

According to a member of the Shoura Council, several decisions regarding the right of a woman to register a business have changed in the last five years. “Until recently, women couldn’t practice any commercial activity without a male agent who represents her in administration and in dealing with the procedures for setting up a legal business in the Kingdom (Fakkar, 2007). Some women, however, still complain that they need a male agent.

**ROLE OF BUSINESS WOMEN CENTER**

Asharqia Chamber of Commerce is one of Saudi Arabia's oldest and well-established service organizations. It was established in 1952 to concern about its members’ interest, develop services to businessmen, and contribute to the development of the Eastern region's economy by promotion of the private sector contribution. The Chamber also has devised a number of promotional and developmental services to provide support to industry, trade and services. Furthermore, to serve the businessmen of various localities, the Chamber has opened six branches around the eastern province, Jubail, Qatif, Hafr Al Baten, Al Khafji, Al Khobar and two building in Al-Dammam. The branches expansion has also served as a tool to promote membership. Asharqia chamber didn't stop at that, but continued its development by opening centers like: Development Center for Small and Medium Enterprises, the Training Center, the Investment Center, Community Service Center, Businesswomen’s Center, and Private Services Center. The Business Women Center was established in the year 2007.

**SPECIFIC CHALLENGES FACED BY WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS**

Entrepreneurs around the world, regardless of gender, share many of the same business problems in starting their businesses like: access to financing, attracting customers, managing cash Flow, etc.

In Saudi Arabia regardless of the large volume of women investments, there are many obstacles prevent their entry and expansion. The Constraints are classified as under:

**Social Constraints**

In the past, women’s role in Saudi society has traditionally been wife and mother, the move toward greater female participation in the business and economy has been met with skepticism, debate, and even hostility. Until now pervasive social customs continue to limit the scope and extent of that participation. That mean there are segments of society does not accept business women's work. In addition, women in Saudi Arabia, as any elsewhere, associated with family obligations and face difficulty of time management which plays also major roles in shaping the obstacles that facing them.

**Legal Constraints**

The main obstacle facing women's SME in Saudi Arabia is bureaucracy through their activities with government organizations. According to a study contracted by SME Centre at Riyadh Chamber of Commerce and Industry (2011) said 65% of SME facing problems with systems of government departments. For example, Saudi businesswomen are still required to have an au-
authorized male representative (al-wakel) to manage their businesses and represent them in government agencies a practice that has led to many cases of fraud and financial loss. In addition, women are not permitted to drive. This situation makes it difficult for them to work on their own businesses without a male driver or family member.

**Educational Constraints**

The Saudi educational system simply is not providing girls with the skills and background they need to successfully compete in the business market. Because the past educational system relies on rote learning and does not sufficiently promote analysis, skills development, problem solving, communication, and creativity. In addition, limited number of training programs targeted to women’s development which is the basic cause for lack business experience.

To overcome the above constraints following are the financial supports offered for Women Entrepreneurs:

The development of small and medium-sized enterprises occupied large part of the interest from more than one entity in Saudi Arabia, whether governmental or private entity. There are approximately 15 financing entities to support small and medium enterprises. The reason for this concern is that they help to raise the rates to encourage economic growth and strengthen the national performance of the economy of the Kingdom. There are no differences in types of funding sources for male and female entrepreneurs. Inside the kingdom there are many sources of funding that help entrepreneurs to start and develop their business. We can divide them in two main types: Commercial Banks and public Funds to support small and medium enterprises. Funds are non-profit organizations dedicated to funding youth projects. These are some examples of them in Saudi Arabia:

- **Saudi industrial development Fund**
  Established program called Kafala which is collaboration between the Ministry of Finance and Saudi banks, which aims to promote financing to (SMEs) within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Through this program, the bank will offer finance to customers up to 2 million SR, and simultaneously, the Program will issue a guarantee to the bank, covering up to 80% of the financing amount.

- **The Centennial Fund**
  Provides partial or total financing form of loans to assist small businesses and young entrepreneurs and value of loans ranging between 50,000 - 200,000 SR.

- **Prince sultan Fund for supporting women’s projects**
  Offer financial and technical support for new and existing women small projects in the Eastern Province. The fund offers finance up to 300,000SR.

**ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

There are examples in the past that Arab women had their own investment and trade in areas of the Arabian Peninsula. The best example for that is Mrs. Khadija bint Khuwaylid, one of the most famous women of Quraish and Mohammed prophet's wife, as she has commercial convoy of her own.

Contemporary Saudi women participated in economic activities, productive investment and different areas of services for the last forty years. Through the databases of Most CCI and MCI in Saudi Arabia, it is clear that businesswomen activities are in steady growth especially in the past five years. Despite the fact that Saudi businesswomen own approximately 20,000 small and medium businesses in Saudi Arabia and invested in various areas like: Traded, production or manufacturing and Services rendered. Based on the statistics some Saudi women manage their own business investments and enterprises, 97 % of which are in wholesale and retail trade, fi-
nance and business services, and construction. Saudi women also own 12% of the firms in the country, including 16% of the large manufacturing firms. These are some examples of women’s business: establishment of Small shopping mall, medical clinics, pharmacies, Schools and private training institutes, Institutions to service the computer, Interior Design, Beauty salons for women, Institutions to rent the supplies for parties, Restaurants, Bakeries, chocolate and cake stores, coffee shops, factories, shops for design and sold gold and jewelry, clothing shops, sporting facilities, and day-care centers.

As evidence to evolution the women’s business in Saudi Arabia, the numbers of trade registries belonging to women in Ministry of Commerce and Industry in Eastern region for the last 5 years (Table 1). This table reflects the increasing numbers of women entrepreneurs’ business.

Table 1: Number of Trade Registries by Women Entrepreneurs in Saudi Arabia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of trade registries by women entrepreneurs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1472</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 illustrates the type of business or project preferred by women entrepreneurs based on questionnaire that was distributed to eighty women entrepreneurs in Eastern province.

![Figure 1: Types of Business](image)

Figure 2 illustrates that in Saudi Arabia the capital for small enterprises is in 5 million SR or less, but for medium between 5 to 25 million SR. Based on the responses received from women entrepreneurs it was found around 72% of enterprises considered small businesses.

![Figure 2: Amount of Capital](image)

According to the statistic of the Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Jeddah, the volume of women projects’ capital in the Kingdom had risen to 60 billion riyals and more than 20% of the funds employed in the investment funds belong to Saudi women. The responses of the question-
naire shows 37% of women start business with their own money, 33% take finance from commercial banks and 33% from funds (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Sources of Entrepreneurial Capital](image)

Figure 4 demonstrates the obstacles faced by women entrepreneurs in business, in communication and in coping up with family needs.

![Figure 4: Obstacles Faced Women Entrepreneurs](image)

These days the Government Saudi Arabia is making great efforts in improving the status of women's work, but a number of social, legal and educational factors continue to hinder them. Entrepreneurism does not exist among women in Saudi Arabia only but women face similar problems around the world. But despite barriers and challenges; Saudi women are still able to achieve substantial growth and success.

Figure 5 and 6 illustrates that 70% of women agreed that Government and Business Women Center of Chamber of Commerce encourages participation of women in free work. Also, 76% agree that opportunities for women became more diverse than before.

![Figure 5: Support from Government and Chamber of Commerce](image)
CONCLUSION
This research focused especially on the women entrepreneurs in small and medium scale businesses in Saudi Arabia’s Eastern Province. The study reveals women entrepreneurs have a huge success in the field of SMEs during the last few years. The SMEs are characterized by many features like: Simplicity of their enterprise, small capital requirement, and flexibility to move and spread geographically. The progression of trade registries for Women SMEs is the proof of progress. 46% of respondents are between 25 to 40 ages and 51% of them evaluate their success in business as “very good and prospective”. Hence the future of Women SMEs is promising.
To conclude, few requirements of women SMEs were identified to make them successful entrepreneurs. They are imparting the importance of Higher Education to them, Help them to analyzing the market requirements and creation of new products and services based on need, training them on project feasibility studies and bringing in awareness among the women on available funding schemes and Government support funds.
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